REGULATING THE PRACTICE OF PSYCHOLOGY IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DECEMBER 29, 1970.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. McMillan, from the committee of conference, submitted the following

CONFERENCE REPORT

[To accompany S. 1626]

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (S. 1626), to regulate the practice of psychology in the District of Columbia, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of

the House No. 1, and agree to the same.

That the House recede from its amendments numbered 2 and 3, and agree to the same.

JOHN L. McMILLAN, DON FUQUA, ANCHER NELSEN, JOEL T. BROYHILL, Managers on the Part of the House. WILLIAM B. SPONG, Jr., THOMAS F. EAGLETON, WINSTON L. PROUTY, Managers on the Part of the Senate.

STATEMENT OF THE MANAGERS ON THE PART OF THE HOUSE

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (S. 1626), to regulate the practice of psychology in the District of Columbia, submit the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the conferees and recom-

mended in the accompanying conference report:

The first House amendment, not included in the Senate bill, struck the entire section 17 of the Senate bill (S. 1626) and inserted new language which incorporates by reference the provisions of the District of Columbia Code (Tit. 14, Sec. 307) relating to the confidential relationship of doctor and patient and making the protections as to testimony applicable to the practice of psychology. The Senate receded from its disagreement to this amendment, and agreed to the same.

House amendments No. 2 and No. 3 added a new section (Section 20), which, amended Section 5 of the Act of May 28, 1924 (D.C. Code, Tit. 2, Sec. 505), enlarging the authority of the Board of Optometry of the District of Columbia, and renumbered the appropriate sections of S. 1626. The House receded from its amendments

No. 2 and No. 3, and the Senate agreed to the same.

JOHN L. McMillan,
Don Fuqua,
Ancher Nelsen,
Joel T. Broyhill,
Managers on the Part of the House.

(2)